

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are pending in this application. By the above amendment, claims 8-11 have been cancelled and claims 14-15 have been added.

The Office Action dated January 25, 2005, has been received and carefully reviewed. Each issue raised in that Office Action is addressed below.

The Office Action objected to the title of the invention as being insufficiently descriptive of the claimed invention. A new title that is more descriptive of the claimed invention has been provided by the above amendment.

Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim. Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested in view of the above amendments and following remarks.

As amended, claim 1 requires panel side wiring formed so that element-connected wiring of a first wiring board and first non-connected wiring of a second wiring board are electrically connected. Claim 1 further requires that first non-connected wiring of the first wiring board be connected to second non-connecting wiring of the second wiring board. Kim shows three wiring boards 210, referred to herein as "upper," "middle" and

"lower" wiring boards based on their relative positions in Figure 5 of Kim. The middle wiring board of Kim does not include first and second non-connecting wiring as required by claim 1. The upper wiring board of Kim does include first and second non-conducting wiring, but does not have element-connecting wiring connected to first non-connecting wiring of another board. Claim 1 as amended is submitted to distinguish over Kim for at least this reason.

Claims 2-7, 12, 13 and 15 depend from claim 1 and are submitted to be allowable over Kim for at least the same reasons as claim 1.

New claim 14 is also submitted to patentably distinguish over the art of record. Claim 14 requires a first wiring board having an insulating base, a driving circuit element for driving a display panel, a first wiring path connected to the driving circuit element, a second wiring path and a third wiring path. Claim 14 also requires a second wiring board identical to the first wiring board. Using identical boards may simplify the manufacturing process. Kim does not show identical first and second wiring boards and claim 14 is submitted to distinguish over Kim for at least this reason.

Conclusion


Each issue raised in the Office Action dated January 25, 2005, has been addressed, and it is believed that claims 1-15 are in condition for allowance. Wherefore, reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-13 and the examination and allowance of claims 14 and 15 is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Scott Wakeman (Reg. No. 37,750) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By 
Charles Gorenstein, #29,271

CG/STW/kmr
4633-0107P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000